60018 Polymict Breccia 1501 grams



Figure 1: Before and after pictures documenting the collection of 60018 from small boulder. AS16-116-18689 and AS16-116-18691. Note the astronaut is in mid-swing.

Transcript

LMP What are you supposed to be doing while I do the double core?

CDR I'm supposed to be sampling.

CC Right. Be looking around for exotics - - especially things like that vesicular basalt you described.

LMP That's why I'm whacking on this one. That is a hard rock, right there, John.

LMP I've got it with the rake. Why don't you take that and put it in my sack and I'll go over and get the double core.

CDR Why don't you carry this one over there and throw it in my big bag.

LMP Okay, I'll do it. Okay, Tony, I just wacked off one at -I thought was basaltic looking but it turns out it's glassy with the white matrix in it (sic).

Introduction

60018 was broken off of a corner of a 40 cm boulder perched on the surface at station 10 near the LM (figure 1). Since it was well photographed, and has zap pits on only one side, it is a well oriented sample. Although a slab was cut to be parallel to the Nadir, the rock was relatively inhomogeneous and apparently not used for cosmic-ray-penetration studies. However, it was determined to have a cosmic ray exposure age of 2.1 m.y. (the apparent age of South Ray Crater).

The top surface was covered with a mm thick glass coating which has many micrometeorite craters (figures 2, 7, 8). The freshly broken surface and the saw cut shows the boulder was a hodgepodge of white clasts set in a black glass matrix (figures 6, 9). The potential exists to discern the rock types present at South Ray Crater, by a careful study of the clasts in this rock.

Note: As is the case for other lunar samples, the orientation of the cube in the lab photos has nothing to do with lunar orientation. In this case, T1 is approximately pointed down. The B1 and S1 faces have the glass coating and zap pits, indicating exterior of boulder.

Petrography

Ryder and Norman (1980) give the only petrographic description of 60018. They make mention of basaltic texture, but this may be incorrect. Thin sections show that the plagioclase is highly shocked (figure 3). It seems clear that in order to study 60018, it needs to be better dissected (probably in air), and the various lithologies kept separate. On the other hand the sample



Figure 2: Front and back photo of 60018. Cube is 1 inch. S72-36954 (S1) and 36955 (N1). Note position of slab and end piece ,25.

may be too shocked and shock melted to be of much use.

The glass coating on this boulder is apparently glass from South Ray Crater (See et al. 1986).

Chemistry

Taylor et al. (1973) and Ebihara et al. (1992) reported the chemical composition of 60018, but since it is non homogeneous, one does not know what to make of this. Ryder and Norman (1980) refer to unpublished analyses by Haskin.



reference weight SiO2 % TiO2 Al2O3 FeO MnO MgO CaO Na2O K2O P2O5 S % sum	Taylor73		glass coat Morris86 See86		Ebihara92	
	46.1 0.62 24.5 4.76	(a) (a) (a) (a)	44.7 0.31 30.72 3.46	(b) (b) (b) (b)		
	8.66 13.9 0.52 0.31	(a) (a) (a) (a)	3.63 16.91 0.46 0.1	(b) (b) (b) (b)		
Sc ppm	4.7		5.06	(b)		
v Cr Co Ni	27 600 9.4 210		457 27 416	(b) (b) (b)	266	(c)
Cu Zn	2				1.18	(c)
Ga Ge ppb					294	(c)
As Se Rb Sr	5.8	(a)			190 6.86	(c) (c)
Y Zr Nb Mo Ru Bb	84 390 27.8	(a) (a) (a)				
Pd ppb Ag ppb Cd ppb In ppb Sn ppb	150	(a)			12.8 1.29 4.94 20	(C) (C) (C) (C)
Sb ppb Te ppb Cs ppm	0.25	(a)			3.3 6.5 0.314	(c) (c) (c)
Ba La Ce Pr	370 32.4 82 12.6	(a) (a) (a)	149 9.66 29.1	(b) (b) (b)	79.8	(c)
Nd Sm	48.6	(a) (a)	4 63	(b)	49.1	(c)
Eu	1.75	(a) (a)	0.99	(b) (b)	1.66	(c)
Tb Dy Ho Er	2.46 16.7 3.9 11	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	0.82	(b)	2.79	(c)
Yb Lu Hf Ta	9.9 1.5 0.59	(a) (a) (a) (a)	2.86 0.42 3.01 0.4	(b) (b) (b) (b)	9.25 1.45	(c) (c)
Re ppb Os ppb Ir ppb Pt ppb					0.78 7.57 6.58	(c) (c) (c)
Au ppb	4 43	(ສ)	2 22		5.46	(c)
U ppm technique:	1.2 (a) SSMS	(a) (a) S, (b)	0.85 10.85	(b)) <i>R</i> i	1.22 NAA	(c)

Table 1. Chemical composition of 60018.



Figure 3: Photomicrographs of thin section 60018,53. Top is plane-polarized and bottom is cross-polarized. S79-27787 and 788 Field of view is 1.4 mm.

Moore et al. (1973) reported only 32 ppm carbon. Moore and Lewis (1976) found only 18 ppm nitrogen.

Radiogenic age dating

Nunes et al. (1974, 1977) and Eugster and Niedermann (1986) reported ages, but again, what are the ages of?

Cosmogenic isotopes and exposure ages

The cosmic ray exposure age of 60018 was determined to be 2.1 ± 0.5 my. by ³⁸Ar, ⁸³Kr and ⁸¹Kr methods (Eugster and Niedermann 1986).

Other Studies

Eugster and Niedermann (1986) reported rare gas abundance and isotopic ratios.

Sugirua et al. (1978) studied the heating effects on the magnetization of 60018.



Figure 4: Processing photo of 60018,24. Large cube is 1 inch. S73-22083

Processing

60018 was returned loose in SCB#7 along with other large rocks, documented bags and core tubes. There were \sim 460 grams of fines in bottom of bag, some probably derived from 60018.

There are 14 thin sections of 60018.



Figure 5: Processing photo for slab A. Cube is 1 inch. S73-21540





Figure 6: Sawn surface of 60018,25. Cube is 1 cm. S78-31788



Figure 7: External surface S1 of 60018,25 showing large unstudied clast. Scale marked in cm. S78-31792. Note zap pits on this surface.

Lunar Sample Compendium C Meyer 2011



Figure 8: Photo of external surface of 60018,25 with zap pits. Cube is 1 cm. S78-31789



Figure 9: Photo of 60018,25. This is the freshly broken surface. Scale is in cm. S78-31787

Lunar Sample Compendium C Meyer 2011

References for 60018.

Butler P. (1972a) Lunar Sample Information Catalog Apollo 16. Lunar Receiving Laboratory. MSC 03210 Curator's Catalog. pp. 370.

Clayton R.N., Hurd J.M. and Mayeda T.K. (1973) Oxygen isotope compositions of Apollo 15, 16 and 17 samples, and their bearing on lunar origin and petrogenesis. *Proc.* 4th *Lunar Sci. Conf.* 1535-1542.

Clayton R.N. and Mayeda T.K. (1975a) Genetic relations between the moon and meteorites. *Proc.* 6th *Lunar Sci. Conf.* 1761-1769.

Cripe J.D. and Moore C.B. (1974) Total sulfur contents of Apollo 15 and 16 lunar samples (abs). *Lunar Sci.* V, 523-525. Lunar Planetary Institute, Houston.

Ebihara M., Wolf R., Warren P.H. and Anders E. (1992) Trace elements in 59 mostly highland moon rocks. *Proc.* 22nd Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 417-426. Lunar Planetary Institute, Houston

Eugster O. and Niedermann S. (1986) Single-stage exposure history of lunar highlands breccias 60018, 67435 and 67455. *Proc. 17th Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* in J. Geophys. Res. **91**, E55-E63.

Goel P.S., Shukla P.N., Kothari B.K. and Garg A.N. (1975) Total nitrogen in lunar soils, breccias, and rocks. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **39**, 1347-1352.

Hunter R.H. and Taylor L.A. (1981) Rust and schreibersite in Apollo 16 highland rocks: Manifestations of volatileelement mobility. *Proc. 12th Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* 253-259.

James O.B. (1981a) Tentative classification of the Apollo 16 breccias (abs). *Lunar Planet. Sci.* XII, 506-508.

LSPET (1973b) The Apollo 16 lunar samples: Petrographic and chemical description. *Science* **179**, 23-34.

LSPET (1972c) Preliminary examination of lunar samples. *In* Apollo 16 Preliminary Science Report. NASA SP-315, 7-1—7-58.

Moore C.B., Lewis C.F. and Gibson E.K. (1973) Total carbon contents of Apollo 15 and 16 lunar samples. *Proc.* 4th Lunar Sci. Conf. 1613-1923.

Moore C.B. and Lewis C.F. (1976) Total nitrogen contents of Apollo 15, 16 and 17 lunar rocks and breccias (abs). *Lunar Sci.* VII, 571-573. Lunar Planetary Institute, Houston.

Morris R.V., See T.H. and Horz F. (1986) Composition of the Cayley Formation at Apollo 16 as inferred from impact melt splashes. *Proc. 17th Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* in J. Geophys. Res. **90**, E21-E42.

Nunes P.D., Tatsumoto M., Knight R.J., Unruh D.M. and Doe B.R. (1973b) U-Th-Pb systematics of some Apollo 16 lunar samples. *Proc.* 4th *Lunar Sci. Conf.* 1797-1822.

Nunes P.D., Unruh D.M. and Tatsumoto M. (1977) U-Th-Pb systematics of Apollo 16 samples 60018, 60025 and 64435; and the continuing problem of terrestrial Pb contamination of lunar samples. *In* Lunar Sample Studies NASA SP-418, 61. (Ed. Phinney)

Reed S.J.B. and Taylor S.R. (1974) Meteoritical metal in Apollo 16 samples. *Meteoritics* **9**, 23-24.

Ryder G. and Norman M.D. (1980) Catalog of Apollo 16 rocks (3 vol.). Curator's Office pub. #52, JSC #16904

See T.H., Horz F. and Morris R.V. (1986) Apollo 16 impactmelt splashes: Petrography and major-element composition. *Proc. 17th Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* in J. Geophys. Res. **91**, E3-E20.

Sugiura N., Strangway D.W. and Pearce G.W. (1978) Heating experiments and paleointensity determinations. *Proc.* 9th *Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* 3151-3163.

Sutton R.L. (1981) Documentation of Apollo 16 samples. In Geology of the Apollo 16 area, central lunar highlands. (Ulrich et al.) U.S.G.S. Prof. Paper 1048.

Taylor S.R., Gorton M.P., Muir P., Nance W.B., Rudowski R. and Ware N. (1973a) Composition of the Descartes region, lunar highlands. *Geochim. Cosmochim Acta* **37**, 2665-2683.